UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

		v .	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
		Juan Antonio Herrera	Case Number: 07-20288-03
		Defendant	
		ecordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 n of the defendant pending trial in this of	3 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the case.
			Part I—Findings of Fact
	(1)	or local offense that would have been a crime of violence as defined in an offense for which the maximum	nse described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). In sentence is life imprisonment or death. term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after	the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
	(3)	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparab The offense described in finding (1) w A period of not more than five years h for the offense described in finding (1) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish	le state or local offenses. as committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. as elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment b. a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
		safety of (an) other person(s) and the o	community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
			Alternative Findings (A)
	(1)		the defendant has committed an offense prisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
	(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the pres	sumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
		the appearance of the defendant as req	uired and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B)
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defenda	
7	(2)		ant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
dera Thi dis the 200	ince of the second seco	d that the credible testimony and inform of the evidence that a presumption case. Defendant He He is 25 years old. For 18 years h siness of buying, selling and repairing	t II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention nation submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence □ a prepon- errera is married with two children and is a resident of San Juan, Texas, with no ties to this ne lived in Mexico, where his mother and brother still reside. He is self employed and is in ng motor homes in Texas. He required the use of an interpreter in Court. On April 26, narijuana (2000 pounds). Defendant forfeited his \$40,000 bond after failing to appear for a NGE 2)
			Dout III Divertions Degarding Detention
to the reas Gov	ne ex onab ernn	tent practicable, from persons awaiting de opportunity for private consultation	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention The Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, gor serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the ions facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
		June 7, 2007	s/ Mona K. Majzoub
		Date	Signature of Judge
			MONA K. MAJZOUB UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

He is charged in the instant case with conspiracy to distribute and possession with the intent to distribute more than 195 bundles of bulk marijuana wrapped in cellophane. While under surveillance, defendant was seen participating in an operation physically unloading and distributing the 195 bales of marijuana on June 05, 2007 in the rear of a business located at 17901 Huron Park Drive. Nothing was presented in open court by way of argument or evidence that rebuts the presumption. This defendant has fled while on bond in the state of Texas. Defendant poses a significant danger to the community. There are no conditions of bond that would assure the defendant's appearance in this district or the safety of the community. Detention is ordered.